

GSM - Grand Solar Minimum. The real "Climate Change" existential threat is right around the corner. Do the Research!

OPPS-29 "The Science" Backing the Alarmist Narrative

OPPS-4 - Climate Change - 3 Pillars
(Science, Economics, Politics)

More detail, climatechangeandmusic.com

The Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming (CAGW) alarmist narrative relies on three main scientific pillars to support their position. CO₂ is effectively the primary climate driver. As CO₂ rises, temperatures will rise dramatically and lead to devastating climate conditions (stronger and more extreme weather events, Oh My!). Or more to the point, "All CO₂, All the time"! Just one major problem, the empirical data and even their analysis does not back up their position. Obviously, that has not stopped our political establishment and their minions in academia and media from claiming that our planet is headed for or has already entered a fiery hell with relentless extreme weather events that will pulverise humanity, leading to our eventual extinction (sometime within the next 10 years).

Pillar #1

The premise, All CO₂, All the time, is a non-starter. That leg of the CAGW alarmist platform (i.e.: narrative) does not even exist. There is simply no empirical CO₂/Temperature dataset that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant historical time scale (a basic, missing Scientific Method requirement). CO₂ has minor influences on climate, but that influence does not show up in the historical data because the natural forcings are far more dominant. Not a very stable start for the alarmist platform.

Pillar #2

The whole alarmist premise stems from the computer model projections. That leg has been blown up (obliterated) from the inside.

The climate models (self-acknowledged by the modelers themselves) "run way too hot". In addition, the IPCC has stated that the higher emission scenarios like ssp5-8.5 have a low likelihood of happening. Real world translation, somewhere between implausible and impossible. As shown to the right, even at a ssp2-4.5 emission scenario, virtually all the models run too hot (compared to both satellite and the manipulated surface temperature data). The models ignore natural forcings (solar & ocean) and use unrealistically high CO₂ climate sensitivities!

Alarmist "Science"




Pillar #3

Every flood, hurricane, fire, etc. is held out by our leaders and their media/academic minions as evidence of the "Climate Emergency" (outright or through their "attribution" studies (the % chance that an individual event was due to climate change based on climate models that run way too hot)). This leg is under constant attack by the very empirical data the alarmists continue to ignore. In the real world, extreme weather events are generally statistically flat or declining as CO₂ rises (as shown below). So, what "science" supports CAGW alarmism?


Pillar #1
Empirical CO₂ / Temperature Datasets
Non-existent
OPS-55 - The State of Climate Science

Pillar #2
Blownup
Computer Models

Pillar #3
Broken
Extreme Weather



CSS-30 - CMIP6 Computer Models



CSS-52 - Extreme Weather Events