


GSM – Grand Solar Minimum. The real “Climate Change” existential threat is right around the corner. Do the Research!

OPS-71 Catastrophic Global Warming Proof?

This post is just a little reality check for those that just blindly accept the Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming Alarmist narrative!

The “Climate Change”, Global Warming, whatever you want to call it narrative, is an ideological not a scientific initiative (and it is not designed to help you or humanity).

Probably the most important Climate Change Factor (CO₂ Climate Sensitivity) is not Settled Science. Not even close!



GW Proof Flow Chart

The Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming (CAGW) alarmist crowd has relied almost exclusively on their climate models for proof of Anthropogenic warming and evidence of future catastrophic warming. That narrative has been discredited by their own documents and admissions. So, why are we still using these models for our policy decisions? The only “proofs” they have left are cries like “code red for humanity” from the ideological sycophants at the UN/WEF.

Stop buying into their FEAR propaganda.

The alarmist focus has now switched to “attribution” studies (i.e.: how much of any particular extreme event can be attributed to “Climate Change”). The problem, they still use those models that run too hot and they do not appear to understand climate science!

There is no empirical CO₂/Temperature dataset (a basic Scientific Method requirement) that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant historical time scale
The CO₂ climate sensitivity is low enough that CO₂'s influence cannot be seen directly in the historical data.

It All Comes Back to This!

It All Comes Back to This!

It is not surprising the models run too hot!!
OPS-55 – The State of Climate Science

Why not?
CSS-30 – CMIP6 Climate Models
The programmers have self-acknowledged that their models run too hot!
The IPCC has self-acknowledged that the higher end emission scenarios (like ssp585) are implausible.
The modelers continue to ignore the important solar forcings (Cosmic Ray Flux, High Energy Particles) built into the newest CMIP6 protocols.
The modelers use an unsubstantiated positive water vapor feedback to accentuate any CO₂ warming (effectively ignoring cloud effects).

The problem, the historical data does not show that extreme weather trends have increased. In most cases, the trend is down.

CSS-40 – Satellite Temperature Comparisons
OPS-52 – Solar Activity – NOAA Forecast
The UAH satellite temperature dataset has been the standard (since they are the closest match to the radiosonde (weather balloon) data). NOAA-STAR was recently recalibrated and now corroborates the UAH data (leaving RSS as the outlier).
NOAA is now forecasting almost no sunspot activity for Sun Spot Cycle 26 (i.e.: a Grand Solar Minimum and colder temperatures)

Computer Models are proof of nothing

Those models (that run too hot) have suggested that all manner of extreme weather (hurricanes, tornados, fires, drought, floods, etc.) are already and will continue to ravage the world. Those prognostications are then amplified by our ideological politicians and compliant media.

More detail? climatechangeandmusic.com

CSS-25 – Incremental Homogenization – HadCRUT4-5
Is NOAA starting to adjust their narrative? Are they covering for themselves before the temperatures drop much more? Over the 2015 to 2022 period, temperatures have declined at 0.76 °C/century.

Computer Models are only as good as the programming they respond to. Garbage In Garbage Out (GIGO). Recent temperatures can be modeled with little or no CO₂ input!