

GSM - Grand Solar Minimum. The real "Climate Change" existential threat is right around the corner. Do the Research!

OPS-70

How Bad are the Canadian Climate Models?

Pathetic comes to mind. Unrealistic and unscientific follow close behind. But that is what masquerades as science in today's society. Examples of the Canadian temperature projections are shown to the right (based on a realistic emission scenario (ssp245) and an implausible (according to the IPCC) scenario (ssp585)). Which emission scenario do you think is used to describe our future? Spoiler alert, fear propaganda requires the ssp585 emission scenario. These projections are compared to three global temperature sets (two satellite (UAH and NOAA-STAR) and one surface (HadCRUT5)) and the Russian (ssp245) Projection (the closest match to the observed satellite temperature measurements). While close, the Russian model matches the homogenized HadCRUT5 data better. So, what differentiates the Russian model from the

Canadian Climate Models Suck!

Canadian and just about every other modeling group on the planet? A low CO₂ climate sensitivity, that has not and cannot produce dangerous, let alone catastrophic temperatures. Is there any wonder that the modelers themselves have acknowledged that their models run too hot, and the IPCC has declared that the ssp585 emission scenarios have a low likelihood of happening? The Canadian models are obviously out to lunch. But as shown in my CSS-30 - CMIP6 Climate Models post, the rest of the climate modeling world does not get a free pass. The models represent propaganda, not science.

