just put out their estimate of Canada's greenhouse gas emission reduction effects 80 years from now. Justin, you should pay attention to "the science" that your economists are laying out for you. Ross McKitrick's quick analysis was published in the National Post on December 7th, 2022. The article can be found here and is an interesting read. This post summarizes Canada's historical GDP in graphical form (along with a forecasted growth of 2.0%/year between now and 2102). Justin, according to your own PBO, our GDP will be just 6.6% less after 80 years (growth would be 371.4% (9.76 trillion dollars) instead of 378.0% (9.90 trillion dollars)), assuming emission reduction policies are stalled at today's levels. So after 80 years of excessive, unnecessary expenditures our descendants will be 0.14 trillion (140 billion) døllars poorer. To put that number in perspective, Calgary City Council recently approved 87 billion dollars to fight the "Climate Emergency" we are supposedly facing, Calgary (on its own) will spend 62% of that 140 billion dollars over the next 10 years with virtually no positive effect

## PBO GDP & Climate

on GDP. According to the PBO, the fully compliant implementation of the Paris Accord would only improve that reduction to 5.8% (an insignificant

0.8% change over 80 years). Justin, how many trillions of dollars of our children and grandchildren's future are you going to waste on your stupid, unnecessary, idiotological green policies for a "problem" that will only cost us 0.14 trillion dollars? Using "the IPCC science" ("the science" you profess to follow) will reduce temperatures in 2100 by just 0.17 °C (assuming every country honors their commitments through the end of the century). At 2 trillion dollars/year, the world will be spending ≈10 trillion dollars for every 1/100th of a degree reduction.

