

Hope your fact check brain does not hurt too much when you realize every "Global Warming" document should (but won't) have a disclaimer.

OPS-47 Fact Checks

No need to worry about context

#showusthedata

Fact Check This

It always comes down to the empirical data, not the scientist's background or opinion or place in society, not the consensus, not the organization. The data can be manipulated and cherry picked (as per the CAGW alarmist handbook), but the data does not lie. CO₂ plays a minor role in climate.

There is **no** "EMPIRICAL" CO₂/Temperature data showing CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant historical time scale!

The sun, (not CO₂) is the primary climate driver!

Better to adapt to Climate Change (hot or COLD)!

Why is that important?

The scientific method requires "EMPIRICAL" data to move a theory from speculation to accepted scientific principle (in the case of Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming (CAGW) alarmism, a narrative). CAGW does not have that "EMPIRICAL" data.

Fact Checks Scientific Method

Is there not one courageous, credible mainstream journalist left in this world?

How do you fact check the CAGW spokespersons of the world (Greta Thunberg, Leo DiCaprio, etc.) when they only offer opinion not data?

Scientific Method | Definition, Steps, & Application | Britannica

In a typical application of the scientific method, a researcher develops a hypothesis, tests it through various means, and then modifies the hypothesis on the basis of the outcome of the tests and experiments. The modified hypothesis is then retested, further modified, and tested again, until it becomes consistent with observed phenomena and testing outcomes.

Scientific Method - Climate Alarmists Adaptation

In a typical application of the CAGW scientific method, a "climate scientist" develops a hypothesis, programs a computer model to get the results they want, and then modifies the "data" on the basis of the outcome of the computer models. The "data" is further modified, as new unconfirming data becomes available, until the "data" again becomes consistent with the "hypothesis". Add on - never change the hypothesis and ignore all dissenting information.

That empirical data is available on my website (with links). climatechangeandmusic.com