

What are the implications of backing the Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming (CAGW) alarmist narrative/agenda?

This OPSS is being put forward to generate some thought/discussion. The general public can be forgiven (to some degree) for deferring to the “experts” and “authoritative sources” because climate change is complicated. Unfortunately, the “experts/AS” are saying climate change is simple, it is virtually all CO₂. Very simply, the empirical data does not back up these expert opinions. As such, many real experts do not buy into the CAGW alarmist narrative. Anyone (scientist or not) just needs to put forward a CO₂/temperature dataset that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant historical time scale to prove the skeptics wrong! And if you actively push the CAGW alarmist narrative, an argument can be made that you fall into the categories listed here.

Science requires proof (i.e.: empirical data)!

OPS-30
Green
Apocalypse

CAGW Implications

- The first implication would be that the backer is **RACIST**. Denying cheap energy to the Third World (predominantly non-Caucasian) is keeping them in severe poverty.
- The second implication would be that the backer is **GENOCIDAL**. Without access to cheap energy, millions of poor people around the world (primarily the Third World) die each year from indoor air pollution associated with cooking over coal, wood or biomass (dung). The WHO’s estimate¹ is included below.
- The third implication would be that the backer is **MYSOGYNISTIC**. Given that most cultures have women in charge of domestic duties, they will have higher exposure to the indoor air pollution threat. In developed countries, woman are also at more risk for the “heat or eat” poverty problem associated with the CAGW movement, since they tend to outlive men and can have a lower socio-economic status when family dynamics lead to a domestic split. In Canada (2020), there are roughly 475,000 (22.6%) more women in Canada than men (aged 70+)². Rising energy prices are a real problem for Seniors on Fixed Income (male and female) and young single mothers.
- The fourth implication would be that the backer is **XENOPHOBIC** and **ISLAMOPHOBIC**. Just an extension of the RACIST implication. Many of the world’s poor live in Third World countries and many are of Islamic faith. CAGW policy decisions are unnecessarily keeping the Third World in poverty.
- The fifth implication would be that the backer is **IMPLICIT IN FRAUD** and **UNSCIENTIFIC**. This statement is more factual than implication. The CAGW alarmist crowd has chosen to focus almost exclusively on anthropogenic climate drivers (primarily CO₂, which has no empirical data backing up the “theory”/narrative) and ignore the solar related climate drivers (which have driven earth’s climate throughout its history). Refer to **CSS-3** for a discussion on CO₂ sensitivity and any of the other Climate Short Stories (different looks at climate over the Holocene, **CSS-1, 2, 4 and 5**) to see how ridiculous the CAGW alarmist theory/narrative really is. Significant temperature fluctuations have occurred over the Holocene interglacial warm period that have nothing to do with CO₂ (which remained essentially flat for 10,000 years). Those natural processes have not ceased to exist just because the IPCC computer programmers have decreed it so.
- The sixth implication would be that the backer is **ANTI-ENVIRONMENT**. The current “green” movement is not environmentally benign. The focus on CO₂ is taking the focus off real environmental issues and creating a host of new environmental problems (bird/bat/insect deaths, rare earth mining, lithium mining (for batteries), wind turbine/solar panel/battery disposal and ecosystem destruction to mention a few). And all for a marginal (if any) improvement in full cycle carbon emissions. Wind turbines, solar panels and electric vehicles all require significant coal, oil and natural gas during the manufacturing process and every major renewable electric grid requires a fully functioning back up power supply (coal, natural gas or oil) for when the sun does not shine, or the wind doesn’t blow. Two electrical power sources when only one is needed?
- The seventh implication would be that the backer is **ANTI-HUMAN**. The CAGW movement ignores the real poverty in the Third World to virtue signal by “saving the world” with their taxpayer funded EVs and Renewable Power Generation. That kid mining your cobalt in the Democratic Republic of Congo might not benefit from you “saving the world”. Especially when it does not need to be saved from one of the basic requirements for life on this planet (the CO₂ molecule).

¹“After analysing the risk factors and taking into account revisions in methodology, WHO estimates indoor air pollution was linked to 4.3 million deaths in 2012 in households cooking over coal, wood and biomass stoves.”

<https://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/>

²Canadian Senior Statistics

https://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/subjects-start/seniors_and_aging

The sun, (not CO₂) is the primary climate driver!

Religion requires only belief (i.e.: today’s climate scientist)!