Question 1

If CO₂ is "NOT" a major driver of "Climate Change", is there any point to regulating its emissions?

Remember CO₂ is not a pollutant, it is as vital for life on this planet as H₂O and is an insignificant "Greenhouse Gas" when compared to H₂O.

Simple Challenge

Provide some real world data (again not computer models and their unsubstantiated theories) that shows CO₂ is driving the climate on any time scale. Real science requires that theories are tested and confirmed in the real world. If they can't be confirmed (as in the case of the current Climate Models), the theory is just wrong!! The computer models that do come close to modeling the recent climate, recognize contribution from solar activities. Strange how that happens...

The answer to both of these questions is NO!

Anyone can download the actual data (not computer models and/or unsubstantiated theories) from NASA, NOAA and a wide variety of academic and scientific institutions and unfortunately for the "Global Warming" alarmists that data just does not show any statistically significant correlation between CO₂ and the long term climate trends. The solar correlations are there in abundance on long term and short term time periods.

Question 2

Is there any empirical evidence (on any time scale) that shows CO₂ is the primary driver of "Climate Change"?

Remember there is a difference between driving the climate and just affecting the temperature. CO₂ increases can contribute to a rise in temperature but there are very few examples in the historical data where the CO₂ effect is even partially visible. The natural cycles (direct solar and indirect solar (ocean cycles, cosmic ray variations, cloud cover fluctuations, solar wind strength, etc.)) dominate the temperature cycles on this planet.

Climate Change in 2 Questions

OPS-7

For Back-up Data/Sources, Google "Ronald Davison Climate" or go to friendsofscience.org