

OPS-6 *Comparison Notes*

The GISS (NASA) and HadCRUT4 are the primary surface temperature anomaly data sets. Given that they use the same raw data, you would expect that they would be comparable (they're not). Both sets of data have been "homogenized" (i.e.: the raw data has been manipulated using computer algorithms to come up with an average temperature anomaly). Each group uses their own algorithm with the GISS data being more aggressively "homogenized". By 2100, GISS will be almost 0.9 °C higher than HadCRUT4. So which dataset is correct? And how on earth will be able to confirm that the cuts proposed in the 2015 Paris Accord actually worked (assuming all parties adhere to their commitments). The temperature drop associated with full adherence by all countries (and using the IPCC "science") will only be 0.048 °C.


Whether you believe the science or not is almost irrelevant.

Settled Science?

The multi-trillion US\$ economic cost associated with reducing the temperature by 0.048 °C by the year 2100 is ridiculous and borderline criminal!!!

Is The Science Really Settled?

Doesn't appear to be when you look at the main temperature data sets! And the difference in temperatures is just one example of unsettled science.



For a much more comprehensive look at the data, Google "Ronald Davison climate" and think for yourself!