
OPS-30 Four Horsemen of the Green Apocalypse

These four environmentalists have had and will continue to have major influence on the "Climate Change" debate. **Along with Mother Nature** (through the coming solar activity changes), they and the many real climate scientists around the world will bring the attack on CO_2 to its justifiable end (the Green Apocalypse, bad times for the renewable industry).

Environmental Reality


More Detail? Search "Ronald Davison climate" or check out climatechangeandmusic.com "coming soon".





Patrick Moore One of the first prominent environmentalists to speak out against the "Climate Change" (i.e.: "Global Warming") narrative. He has set himself apart from the other environmentalists summarized here because he has (and rightfully so) questioned the scientific position of the **Catastrophic Anthropogenic Global Warming (CAGW)** alarmists.


www.ecosense.me



economic/environmental insanity). I can't believe I'm saying this but this MM documentary is worth watching. The documentary downside is the overpopulation narrative that will likely follow.

www.planetofthehumans.com

These are my opinions on these environmentalist's positions. I don't agree with all of their views but I would recommend their websites/books/documentary (highlighted below).



www.lomborg.com



APOCALYPSE NEVER

WHY ENVIRONMENTAL ALARMISM HURTS US ALL MICHAEL SHELLENBERGER



Bjorn Lomborg One of the first prominent environmentalists to speak out against the economic insanity of the

"Climate Change" (i.e.: "Global Warming") narrative. He has taken the position that the science peddled by the IPCC is correct. He uses that IPCC science in his analysis and shows exactly how ineffective the trillions spent on "green" initiatives will be. The trillions could be spent far more effectively on real world environmental, economic and societal problems and adapting to "Climate Change" (whether we end up warming or cooling).

Michael Shellenberger

The most recent prominent environmentalist to speak out against the "Climate Change" (i.e.: "Global Warming") narrative, specifically the over hyped, unjustified alarmism. As with Lomborg, he accepts the IPCC science. But rather than just accepting the CAGW alarmist point of view (not backed up by the IPCC science), he has applied (gasp) logic to his analysis. His recent op-ed, "On behalf of environmentalists everywhere, I apologize for the climate scare" was quickly pulled by Forbes when they realized MS was not following the narrative.

www.environmentalprogress.org