

THE SAD STATE OF TRUDEAU'S CANADA

2015 Paris Accord

2100 Temperature Reduction
(based on 2030 commitments)

0.048 °C

0.000075 °C (AOS (Base))

0.000004 °C (AOS (Incremental))

0.00087 °C (Rest of Canada)

2100 Temperature Reduction
(based on extensions)

0.170 °C


0.00027 °C (AOS (Base))

0.000016 °C (AOS (Incremental))

0.0030 °C (Rest of Canada)


These values assume that all countries will meet their commitments and are based on the science put forward by the IPCC. These temperature reduction estimates will be too small to confirm even in 2100 because they are less than the error estimates in the "unvalidated" computer models.

<https://www.lomborg.com/press-release-research-reveals-negligible-impact-of-paris-climate-promises>



2015 Paris Accord Canada's Proportional Contribution

Canada leading the world in CO₂ reduction is ridiculous even if you believe in "Global Warming" Alarmism. The Alberta Oil Sands (AOS) proportional contribution is represented by the combined two small strips you can't see at the top of the pie chart. If we meet our 2030 capital commitments (assuming our governments will ever tell us what those estimates actually are), the temperature reduction in 2100 would be a whopping 0.000004 °C for the Incremental AOS (I-AOS). Canada's entire contribution would only be 0.00094 °C. If we were to extend the capital commitments through to the end of the century, those 2100 temperature reductions would still only be 0.000016 °C (for the I-AOS) and Canada's entire temperature reduction contribution would still only be an "unmeasurable" 0.0033 °C.



We're basically selling our oil to the US at \$10-\$25/bbl and they are then exporting those equivalent barrels to the world at \$50+/bbl. We are subsidizing the US economy (and damaging our own economy) at a level of \$100,000,000/day, simply because we don't have pipelines to tidewater. And stopping Canadian pipelines won't help solve "Global Warming". Canada accounts for only 1.96% of GHG emissions. The Alberta Oil Sands (AOS) are only 8.5% of Canada's contribution and the incremental GHG emission levels in the Oil Sands are only 6% higher than an average US barrel. Oil demand continues to grow so the only possible net benefit (assuming other countries are as contentious and ethical as Canadian operators) is the incremental AOS GHG emission (0.009% of human global emissions). The most optimistic proportional temperature reduction (based on the 2015 Paris accord (0.17 °C), very likely an unachievable scenario) is only 0.000016 °C. Regardless, the billions in mitigation costs (even for the base 2030 commitments) are not worth the damage that will be done to the economy for absolutely no measurable gain.