

Scientific Method and Anthropogenic Warming

The IPCC and the general climate alarmist community say Climate Change is Simple.

CO₂ increases are “the” primary climate driver and human CO₂ emissions will lead to catastrophic temperature increases.

If that were the case it would be easy to provide an atmospheric CO₂ concentration/global temperature data set that shows CO₂ driving the climate.

After all, that is how science works. Until real world, empirical data is presented, a theory is just that, a theory.

Unfortunately, for the IPCC and the general climate alarmist community, there is “NO” atmospheric CO₂/temperature data set that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant historical climate time scale.

Catastrophic anthropogenic warming is just a theory (with no empirical data to back up the theory)!!!

The only “proof” that is ever put forward is based on the IPCC computer models projections.

Unfortunately for the IPCC and the general climate alarmist community, computer models are proof of absolutely nothing.

Computers can only output their projections based on how they’ve been programmed. “Garbage In/Garbage Out”

The IPCC mandate has always been focussed on anthropogenic causes of global warming. Ignoring natural causes was and still is standard operating procedure. In that light, the IPCC only includes the sun’s total solar irradiance (TSI) in their computer models. Solar/Astrophysicists (very scarce in the IPCC world) all know that solar activity is far more than just TSI.

Computer modeling in the Climate Change world is currently transitioning to the CMIP6 protocol (versus the historical CMIP5 protocol). The primary difference – CMIP6 has included more (but not all) of the solar climate drivers. The problem for the climate alarmist community – the global temperatures can be modeled without including CO₂ variations.

Hmmm, go figure!!!

Computer models that factor in the natural cycles (direct solar (TSI, solar wind fluctuations, UV, etc.) and indirect solar (ocean cycles, cosmic ray/cloud cover/albedo fluctuations, etc.) along with a more realistic CO₂ sensitivity, will represent future climate scenarios much more accurately. A prudent approach to future climate realities would look at both warming and cooling scenarios.

Ignoring solar activity is a very dangerous approach to preparing for future climate scenarios.

Cold weather already kills far more people each year than hot weather.

Historical temperature drops during Grand Solar Minimums (GSM) have been disastrous to mankind and the current GSM is not likely to be any less disastrous. The cold weather crop losses (snow, hail, torrential rains (floods), shortened growing seasons, etc.) leading to mass starvations are typical during GSMs.

**Adapt to Climate Change
(hot or COLD)!!!**

More detail? Google “Ronald Davison climate”

Unlike CO₂, solar activity (direct and indirect) does show up as a primary climate driver in the historical records!

The sun, (not CO₂) is the primary climate driver!

**CO₂ and
Scientific Proof**