2015 Paris Climate Accord

4.5

3.0

2.0

run on MAGICC.

So, let's assume that the IPCC Technical Science is correct (big assumption in my opinion) and their computer models are also correct (even though they have never been validated).

What does the 2015 Paris Climate **Accord actually accomplish?**

(assuming every participating country follows through on their pledges (including the USA))

If every country just meets their 2030 commitments, the temperature rise would be 0.048 °C less than a business as usual case.

If every country extends their 2030 commitments through the rest of the century, the temperature rise would be 0.17 °C less than a business as usual case.

These very minor temperature reductions come at a cost of ~\$1 trillion dollars/year. But that assumes that the UN and every Government will spend that money efficiently, ethically and on true green initiatives. It would make more sense for Canada to postpone CO2 reductions until everyone (China, Russia, India and the USA

More detail? Google "Ronald

So, what is Canada's contribution to that reduction

Davison climate"

Gilmaie COLDII

Climaie COLDII

The global temperature change from pre-industrial, for the Do Nothing (RCP8.5) scenario, for the global promises for Paris and for Paris extended for 70 more years, as

We won't even be able to determine if the CO₂ emission reductions were effective 80 years from now, because the temperature reductions will be less than the inherent error in the computer models.

to start with) is participating in those reductions. The delay would be undetectable in the temperature record!!!

Canada's contribution to CO₂ emissions is less than 2% of the global totals!

(And that doesn't factor in the huge carbon sinks that exist in Canada's boreal forests and vast prairie/farm lands (i.e.: Canada is actually carbon neutral or more likely a net carbon sink))

If we assume that Canada's contribution to the CO₂ reduction is 2%, the temperature reduction would be only 0.00096 °C (for the 2030 case), the temperature reduction would be only 0.00340 °C (for the 2100 case).

Spending billions/year (trillions globally) for these minor (and still unproven) temperature

reductions is not economically prudent and is ultimately economic suicide (especially when there are

real problems that need to be addressed like real pollutants, poverty, terrorism, etc.)!!!

The Oil Sands contribution to CO₂ emissions is 8.5% of Canada's 2% (0.0017%)!

So, what is the Oil Sands contribution to that reduction

http://www.lomborg.com/press-release-research-reveals-negligible-impact-of-paris-climate-promises

A delay would also show how

unnecessary CO2 reductions are as

temperatures drop over the next decade due to the Grand Solar

Minimum we are entering.

(In reality, the actual temperature reduction would be much smaller than the values quoted below. Oil sands emissions are roughly 6% higher than conventional oil emissions. The incremental emissions are the only saving, that barrel of oil will still be produced somewhere)

If we assume that the Oil Sands's contribution to the CO₂ reduction is 0.0017%, the temperature reduction would be only 0.0000816 °C (for the 2030 case), the temperature reduction would be only 0.002890 °C (for the 2100 case).

In reality, the Oil Sands contribution is virtually ZERO. In fact, global CO₂ emissions will likely be higher since any barrel shut-in from Canada will still get produced somewhere else in the world in areas that don't have emissions standards anywhere close to Canada's!!

2015 Paris Accord