## **OPS-0**

This is the first in a set of detailed One Page Summaries (OPS) that look at the Climate Change Issue from a logical, data based point of view. The focus is technical but the negative economics of green initiatives will also be highlighted. The OPS will compliment, add to and update the general discussion I laid out in my Open Letter to the World (Google "Ronald Davison climate")

show up a driver in the

**Climate Change Prologue** 

## Climate Change Prologue (Ronald Davison)

Theoretically, there is a very easy way to get Climate Change "deniers/skeptics" to buy into the "Global Warming" alarmist's point of view. Provide a real world data set that shows CO2 has been driving the climate at any point in the earth's history. That data doesn't exist on any statistically significant climate time scale. NASA doesn't have that data, NOAA doesn't have that data, no academic or scientific institution on this planet has that data. If someone has this mythical data set that says otherwise (data that no one else has ever been able to supply), please bring it forward now. The only proof that the IPCC and the "Global Warming" alarmist crowd ever put forward is based on computer simulations (not a proof) and unsubstantiated theories (again not a proof). Without empirical, real world data, believing in "Catastrophic Anthropogenic Warming" is more in line with a religion (i.e.: blind faith) than science.

CO<sub>2</sub> does affect the temperature/climate but the effects are small and are lost in the natural cycles that actually control the planet's climate. And those natural cycles will show just how wrong the IPCC, etc. projections have been and will continue to be. The record cold temperatures and snowfalls in the Northern Hemisphere last year and this year are not a fluke. We've just started our temperature descent into a Grand Solar Minimum and its only going to get worse. Within a couple of years we'll all be thankful for whatever small amount of warming that CO<sub>2</sub> has provided for us.

Anyone can download the data (CO<sub>2</sub>, Temperature, Solar Activity Levels (TSI) and Ocean Cycles (specifically the Atlantic Multi-Decadal Oscillation (AMO)) and look for themselves. And I strongly suggest that everyone do that. It will open your eyes. If you don't want to download the data yourselves, you can find my laymen's review of the data by Googling "Ronald Davison climate". And I strongly urge everyone to ignore my actual analysis/opinions and make up your own mind based strictly on the data (and not the propaganda from either side of the discussion).

Ignoring/minimizing solar activity (which is exactly what the IPCC does) is a very dangerous approach to preparing for future climate scenarios. You don't need expensive computer models to show the future. Look to the past! Historical temperature drops during Grand Solar Minimums (GSM) have been disastrous to mankind and the current GSM is not likely to be any less disastrous. The cold weather crop losses (snow, hail, torrential rains (floods), shortened growing seasons, etc.) leading to mass starvations are typical during GSMs. And if you do some research you'll find those losses are already happening. Cold weather already kills far more people each year than hot weather.

More detail? Google "Ronald

Adapt to Climate Change

(hot or COLD)!!!

**Davison climate**"