


OPPS-3 Show Us The Data

A quick and needed requirement from Justin Trudeau and Katherine McKenna (and any other Anthropogenic Global Warming Alarmist on the planet)



#ShowUsTheData

The sun, (not CO₂) is the primary climate driver! Look at the Historical Data!!! The sun (directly and indirectly) is driving the climate.

You're asking the taxpayer to ante up billions of dollars (trillions worldwide) to fight "climate change". The taxpayer has the right and obligation to ask you to provide a data set that shows CO₂ driving that "climate change". You have an obligation to provide that data. Unfortunately for you, the data doesn't exist!

Other Related Thoughts

Us:

You know, the Canadian Voter/Taxpayer (of which I am one), the people that you work for and answer to.

The Data:

An atmospheric CO₂/Global Temperature Data Set that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant time scale.

The Problem:

That Data Set doesn't exist!!! Not at NASA, not at NOAA, not at any academic or scientific institution on the planet.

No Empirical Data, No Proof!!

I freely encourage everyone to ignore my opinions and look at the empirical data. The data shows the sun, not CO₂, drives the climate.

Computer Models are useless when the historical data is ignored. GIGO - Garbage in, Garbage Out (or more recently Garbage In, Gospel Out). The IPCC models literally respond to only CO₂ (ignoring the natural forcings that have existed for billions of years). Science doesn't work that way!!!

Show Us The Data

Ignoring current solar activity forecasts is the biggest mistake that humankind has ever made (given that the data is readily available and our "leaders" should (and probably do) know better). Unfortunately, political/ideological dogma controls the narrative (ignoring the data/real science and likely leading to millions of unnecessary deaths across the planet).

History will judge you and that judgement will be harsh and in the very near future. The cooling effects of the Grand Solar Minimum (GSM) have just begun and will worsen significantly over the next decade. You choose to ignore the empirical data (the key component to the scientific process) when you were/are in positions to affect real, positive change. YOU will (in part) be responsible for the deaths of every person that dies due to the cold and/or starvation associated with the GSM. Your actions are criminal in nature and should ultimately be treated as such.

Current Narrative "Climate Change" is easy. More CO₂ emissions leads to catastrophic warming. You (or your scientific advisers) should have no trouble providing empirical proof then. I'll be waiting.....

More Detail? Google "Ronald Davison climate".