

OPPS-2 Climate Change Reality Check

Here's a little reality check (re: actual basic facts) for anyone that buys into "Global Warming" theory.

1. "Global Warming" (now colloquially defined as the rise in temperature associated with rising CO₂ levels) is just that, a theory. There is no empirical data showing CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant time scale. Without data backup (and there isn't any), the GW theory remains that, just a theory. Believing otherwise is closer to religion than science.
2. Computer models (literally programmed to respond to only greenhouse gas emissions) are now routinely used to forecast the coming catastrophic temperature rises that will supposedly doom mankind over the next century. They could also be programmed to produce the catastrophic temperature drops that the planet will experience over the next decade or two as we drop further into the Grand Solar Minimum (GSM) as predicted by solar/astrophysicists across the planet (including NASA). Simple point, computers can be programmed to give whatever answer the programmer wants. Unfortunately for everyone on this planet, public policy is being driven by these unsubstantiated and grossly exaggerated computer projections.

Basic Fact


There is no empirical CO₂/temperature data set that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant time scale!

Reality Check


More Detail?
Google "Ronald Davison climate".

The sun, (not CO₂) is the primary climate driver!

No Empirical Data, No Proof!!



Computer Models, Not Proof!!



A message to Elizabeth May

Normally, I would just ignore you as irrelevant, but you hit a nerve by attacking Alberta (my home for the last 35 years) and Saskatchewan (born and raised) directly. I'll sit down and talk with you and I can guarantee I have a better handle on "Climate Change" than you do. By the way, you can change my mind. All you have to put forward is a CO₂/temperature dataset that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant time scale. I'm not holding my breath!

A message to Katherine McKenna

Yelling louder and incessantly repeating your dogma does not override the principles of science.

No data, no proof!!

Also, you are just lying when you link wildfires and other extreme weather to "climate change".

The data just doesn't back up that premise (as your precious IPCC report states).

A message to Greta Thunberg

Your passion is admirable, but science doesn't actually care how old you are. The historical data just does not back up the "Anthropogenic Global Warming" (AGW) theory. Keep your UN speech handy, with a few modifications you can tear another strip off the UN (your parents and your backers) in a few years for lying to you and actually taking your childhood away from you. Here's a little homework for you. You have access to the "best climate scientists" in the world. Get them to provide you with an empirical CO₂/temperature data set that will silence all the climate skeptics. Unfortunately, they can't.

A message to Canada's Young Canadians (all 15 of you)

Science applies to your group just as much as an individual like Greta. No data, no proof. So to win your case all you need to provide is that elusive empirical CO₂/temperature dataset. You know, that dataset that doesn't exist. Don't believe me, ask your "climate scientist" backer, Suzuki if he has one (he doesn't). Here's a couple of other points that you (and the government's defense lawyers) should ponder. In a warming world, extreme weather actually declines since temperature differentials between the tropics and the polar regions decline. Higher temperature differentials, more extreme weather. And that is what has happened as the earth warmed over the last 150 years. Extreme weather (hurricanes, wildfires, droughts, tornados, etc.) have not been increasing in frequency or magnitude. Today's weather events (hurricanes, drought, wildfire, heatwaves, etc.) have all been eclipsed (in many cases dramatically) by other historical events over the last 150 years.

A message to Justin Trudeau

Surprise, surprise, science applies to politicians, too. Again no data, no proof. You say you're listening to Albertans. Prove it. Go through your science advisors and fire every one that cannot bring you an empirical CO₂/temperature dataset that shows CO₂ driving the climate on any statistically significant time scale (unless they are truthful and acknowledge that the dataset doesn't exist). Your office has access to NASA's, NOAA's, etc. data (temperature, CO₂ concentrations and solar activity) because you were sent the data. A true leader would review the data and easily recognize the true threat facing this planet. The cooling over the next decade will be challenging (and potentially devastating). But I suspect you already know that and have chosen to ignore the bigger picture since it doesn't fit the narrative. History will judge you harshly and soon! And for the record, going from a majority to minority government, losing the popular vote and being virtually wiped out in Western Canada is not a mandate!